

ON (COMPLETE) NORMALITY OF FUZZY d -IDEALS IN d -ALGEBRAS

SUN SHIN AHN AND KEUM SOOK SO

Received April 8, 2008; revised July 27, 2008

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce the notion of normal fuzzy d -ideals, maximal fuzzy d -ideals and completely normal fuzzy d -ideals in d -transitive d -algebras. We investigate some interesting properties of normal (resp. maximal, completely normal) d -ideals. We show that every non-constant normal fuzzy d -ideal which is a maximal element of $(\mathcal{N}(X), \subseteq)$, where X is a d -transitive d -algebra, takes only the values 0 and 1, and every maximal fuzzy d -ideal in a d -transitive d -algebra is completely normal. Moreover, we state a fuzzy characteristic d -ideal in d -algebras.

1. Introduction

Y. Imai and K. Iséki introduced two classes of abstract algebras : BCK -algebras and BCI -algebras ([5,6]). It is known that the class of BCK -algebras is a proper subclass of the class of BCI -algebras. In [2,3] Q. P. Hu and X. Li introduced a wide class of abstract algebras : BCH -algebras. They have shown that the class of BCI -algebras is a proper subclass of the class of BCH -algebras. J. Neggers and H. S. Kim ([11]) introduced the notion of d -algebras which is another useful generalization of BCK -algebras, and investigated several relations between d -algebras and BCK -algebras, and then investigated other relations between d -algebras and oriented digraphs. J. Neggers, Y. B. Jun and H. S. Kim ([10]) discussed ideal theory in d -algebras, and introduced the notions of d -subalgebra, d -ideal, $d^\#$ -ideal and d^* -ideal, and investigated some relations among them. Y. C. Lee and H. S. Kim ([8]) introduced the notion of d -transitive d^* -algebra which is a generalization of BCK -algebras.

In this paper, we introduce the notion of normal fuzzy d -ideals, maximal fuzzy d -ideals and completely normal fuzzy d -ideals in d -transitive d -algebras. We investigate some interesting properties of normal (resp., maximal, completely normal) d -ideals. We show that every non-constant normal fuzzy d -ideal which is a maximal element of $(\mathcal{N}(X), \subseteq)$, where X is a d -transitive d -algebra, takes only the values 0 and 1, and every maximal fuzzy d -ideal in a d -transitive d -algebra is completely normal. Moreover, we state a fuzzy characteristic d -ideal in d -algebras.

2. Preliminaries

A d -algebra ([11]) is a non-empty set X with a constant 0 and a binary operation “ $*$ ” satisfying axioms :

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 06F35, 03G25.

Key words and phrases. d -algebras, fuzzy d -ideal, normal fuzzy d -ideal, maximal fuzzy d -ideal, completely normal fuzzy d -ideal.

- (I) $x * x = 0$,
- (II) $0 * x = x$,
- (III) $x * y = 0$ and $y * x = 0$ imply $x = y$ for all $x, y \in X$.

A d -algebra $(X; *, 0)$ is said to be d -transitive if $x * y = 0$ and $y * z = 0$ imply $x * z = 0$.

A BCK -algebra is a d -algebra $(X; *, 0)$ satisfying additional axioms:

- (IV) $((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0$,
- (V) $(x * (x * y)) * y = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in X$.

For brevity we also call X a d -algebra. In X we can define a binary relation “ \leq ” by $x \leq y$ if and only if $x * y = 0$.

Now we review some fuzzy logic concepts. A *fuzzy set* in a set X is a function $\mu : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$. By X_μ we denote the set $\{x \in X \mid \mu(x) = \mu(0)\}$. For any fuzzy sets μ and ν in a set X , we define

$$\mu \subseteq \nu \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mu(x) \leq \nu(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Definition 2.1. ([10]) Let $(X; *, 0)$ be a d -algebra and $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq X$. I is a d -subalgebra of X if $x * y \in I$ whenever $x \in I$ and $y \in I$. I is called a BCK -ideal of X if it satisfies:

- (D₀) $0 \in I$,
- (D₁) $x * y \in I$ and $y \in I$ imply $x \in I$.

I is called a d -ideal of X if it satisfies (D₁) and

- (D₂) $x \in I$ and $y \in X$ imply $x * y \in I$, i.e., $I * X \subseteq I$.

3. Normality of fuzzy d -ideals

In this section, X will denote a d -algebra, unless otherwise specified.

Definition 3.1. ([7]) Let μ be a fuzzy set in a d -algebra X . Then μ is called a *fuzzy d -subalgebra* of X if $\mu(x * y) \geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$, $\forall x, y \in X$. μ is called a *fuzzy BCK -ideal* of X if

- (F₀) $\mu(0) \geq \mu(x)$,
- (F₁) $\mu(x) \geq \min\{\mu(x * y), \mu(y)\}$, for all $x, y \in X$.

μ is called a *fuzzy d -ideal* of X if it satisfies (F₁) and

- (F₂) $\mu(x * y) \geq \mu(x)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Lemma 3.2. ([7]) If μ is a fuzzy d -ideal of a d -algebra X , then $\mu(0) \geq \mu(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Let μ be a fuzzy d -ideal of X and let $t \in Im(\mu)$. The level subset $\mu_t := \{x \in X \mid \mu(x) \geq t\}$ is a fuzzy d -ideal of X ([7]).

Example 3.3. Let $X = \{0, a, b, c, d, e\}$ be a set with the following Cayley table:

$*$	0	a	b	c	d	e
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	0	0	0	a
b	b	b	0	0	a	a
c	c	b	a	0	a	a
d	d	d	d	d	0	a
e	e	e	e	e	e	0

Then X is a d -algebra. Define a fuzzy set $\mu : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $\mu(e) = 0.3$ and $\mu(x) = 0.5$ for all $x \neq e$. Then μ is a fuzzy d -ideal of X .

Proposition 3.4. Let A be a non-empty subset of X and let μ_A be defined by

$$\mu_A := \begin{cases} s & \text{if } x \in A \\ t & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in X$ and some $s, t \in [0, 1]$ with $s > t$. Then μ_A is a fuzzy d -ideal of X if and only if A is a d -ideal of X . Moreover,

$$X_{\mu_A} := \{x \in X \mid \mu_A(x) = \mu_A(0)\} = A.$$

Proof. Straightforward. □

Definition 3.5. A fuzzy d -ideal μ of X is said to be *normal* if there exists $x \in X$ such that $\mu(x) = 1$.

Example 3.6. Let X be the d -algebra of Example 3.3. Then a fuzzy set μ in X defined by $\mu(e) = t < 1$ and $\mu(x) = 1$ for all $x \neq e$ is a normal fuzzy d -ideal of X .

We note that if μ is a normal fuzzy d -ideal of X , then clearly $\mu(0) = 1$, and hence μ is normal if and only if $\mu(0) = 1$.

Proposition 3.7. Given a fuzzy d -ideal μ of X let μ^+ be the fuzzy set in X defined by $\mu^+(x) = \mu(x) + 1 - \mu(0)$ for all $x \in X$. Then μ^+ is a normal fuzzy d -ideal of X which contains μ .

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \min\{\mu^+(x * y), \mu^+(y)\} &= \min\{\mu(x * y) + 1 - \mu(0), \mu(y) + 1 - \mu(0)\} \\ &= \min\{\mu(x * y), \mu(y)\} + 1 - \mu(0) \\ &\leq \mu(x) + 1 - \mu(0) = \mu^+(x) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mu^+(x * y) = \mu(x * y) + 1 - \mu(0) \geq \mu(x) + 1 - \mu(0) = \mu^+(x).$$

Also we obtain $\mu^+(0) = \mu(0) + 1 - \mu(0) = 1$. This shows that μ^+ is a normal fuzzy d -ideal of X . Clearly $\mu \subseteq \mu^+$, completing the proof. □

Corollary 3.8. *Let μ and μ^+ be as in Proposition 3.7. If there is $x \in X$ such that $\mu^+(x) = 0$, then $\mu(x) = 0$.*

Proof. Since $\mu \subseteq \mu^+$, it is straightforward. \square

Using Proposition 3.4, we know that for any d -ideal A of X the characteristic function χ_A of A is a normal fuzzy d -ideal of X . It is clear that μ is normal if and only if $\mu^+ = \mu$.

Proposition 3.9. *If μ is a fuzzy d -ideal of X , then $(\mu^+)^+ = \mu^+$. Moreover if μ is normal, then $(\mu^+)^+ = \mu$.*

Proof. Straightforward. \square

Proposition 3.10. *If μ and ν are fuzzy d -ideals of X such that $\mu \subseteq \nu$ and $\mu(0) = \nu(0)$, then $X_\mu \subseteq X_\nu$.*

Proof. Let $x \in X_\mu$. Then $\nu(x) \geq \mu(x) = \mu(0) = \nu(0)$ and so $\nu(x) \geq \nu(0)$. By Lemma 3.2, we have $\nu(0) \geq \nu(x)$. Hence $\nu(x) = \nu(0)$, i.e., $x \in X_\nu$, proving $X_\mu \subseteq X_\nu$. \square

Corollary 3.11. *If μ and ν are normal fuzzy d -ideals of X such that $\mu \subseteq \nu$, then $X_\mu \subseteq X_\nu$.*

Proposition 3.12. *Let μ be a fuzzy d -ideal of X . If there exists a fuzzy d -ideal ν of X such that $\nu^+ \subseteq \mu$, then μ is normal.*

Proof. Assume that there exists a fuzzy d -ideal ν of X such that $\nu^+ \subseteq \mu$. Then $1 = \nu^+(0) \leq \mu(0)$, and so $\mu(0) = 1$ and we are done. \square

Proposition 3.13. *Let μ be a fuzzy d -ideal of X and let $f : [0, \mu(0)] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be an increasing function. Then a fuzzy set $\mu_f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by $\mu_f := f(\mu(x))$ for all $x \in X$ is a fuzzy d -ideal of X . In particular, if $f(\mu(0)) = 1$ then μ_f is normal; and if $f(t) \geq t$ for all $t \in [0, \mu(0)]$, then μ is contained in μ_f .*

Proof. For any $x, y \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \min\{\mu_f(x * y), \mu_f(y)\} &= \min\{f(\mu(x * y)), f(\mu(y))\} \\ &= f(\min\{\mu(x * y), \mu(y)\}) \\ &\leq f(\mu(x)) = \mu_f(x) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mu_f(x * y) = f(\mu(x * y)) \geq f(\mu(x)) = \mu_f(x).$$

Hence μ_f is a fuzzy d -ideal of X . If $f(\mu(0)) = 1$, then clearly μ_f is normal. Assume that $f(t) \geq t$ for all $t \in [0, \mu(0)]$. Then $\mu_f(x) = f(\mu(x)) \geq \mu(x)$ for all $x \in X$, which proves that μ is contained in μ_f . \square

Denote by $\mathcal{N}(X)$ the set of all normal fuzzy d -ideals of a d -transitive d -algebra X . Note that $\mathcal{N}(X)$ is a poset under set inclusion.

Theorem 3.14. *Let $\mu \in \mathcal{N}(X)$ be a non-constant function such that it is a maximal element of $(\mathcal{N}(X), \subseteq)$. Then μ takes only the values 0 and 1.*

Proof. Note that $\mu(0) = 1$ since μ is normal. Let $x \in X$ be such that $\mu(x) \neq 1$. We claim that $\mu(x) = 0$. If not, then there exists $a \in X$ such that $0 < \mu(a) < 1$. Let ν be a fuzzy set in X defined by $\nu(x) := \frac{1}{2}(\mu(x) + \mu(a))$ for all $x \in X$. Then clearly ν is well-defined. For all $x, y \in X$, we have

$$\nu(x * y) = \frac{1}{2}(\mu(x * y) + \mu(a)) \geq \frac{1}{2}(\mu(x) + \mu(a)) = \nu(x)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(x) &= \frac{1}{2}(\mu(x) + \mu(a)) \geq \frac{1}{2}[\min\{\mu(x * y), \mu(y)\} + \mu(a)] \\ &= \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}(\mu(x * y) + \mu(a)), \frac{1}{2}(\mu(y) + \mu(a))\right\} \\ &= \min\{\nu(x * y), \nu(y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence ν is a fuzzy d -ideal of X . It follows from Proposition 3.7 that $\nu^+ \in \mathcal{N}(X)$ where ν^+ is defined by $\nu^+(x) = \nu(x) + 1 - \nu(0)$ for all $x \in X$. Clearly $\nu^+(x) \geq \mu(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu^+(a) &= \nu(a) + 1 - \nu(0) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\mu(a) + \mu(a)) + 1 - \frac{1}{2}(\mu(0) + \mu(a)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\mu(a) + 1) > \mu(a) \end{aligned}$$

and $\nu(a) < 1 = \nu^+(0)$. Hence ν^+ is non-constant, and μ is not a maximal element of $\mathcal{N}(X)$. This is a contradiction. \square

We construct a new fuzzy d -ideal from an old one. Let $t > 0$ be a real number. If $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, α^t shall mean the positive root in case $t < 1$. We define $\mu^t : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $\mu^t(x) := (\mu(x))^t$ for all $x \in X$.

Proposition 3.15. *If μ is a fuzzy d -ideal of X , then so is μ^t and $X_{\mu^t} = X_\mu$.*

Proof. For any $x, y \in X$, we have $\mu^t(x * y) = (\mu(x * y))^t \geq (\mu(x))^t = \mu^t(x)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^t(x) &= (\mu(x))^t \geq (\min\{\mu(x * y), \mu(y)\})^t \\ &= \min\{(\mu(x * y))^t, (\mu(y))^t\} \\ &= \min\{\mu^t(x * y), \mu^t(y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence μ^t is a fuzzy d -ideal of X . Now

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\mu^t} &= \{x \in X \mid \mu^t(x) = \mu^t(0)\} \\ &= \{x \in X \mid (\mu(x))^t = (\mu(0))^t\} \\ &= \{x \in X \mid \mu(x) = \mu(0)\} = X_\mu. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.16. *If $\mu \in \mathcal{N}(X)$, then so is μ^t .*

Proof. Straightforward. □

Definition 3.17. Let μ be a fuzzy d -ideal of a d -transitive d -algebra X . Then μ is said to be *maximal* if

- (i) μ is non-constant,
- (ii) μ^+ is a maximal element of the poset $(\mathcal{N}(X), \subseteq)$.

Theorem 3.18. *If μ is a maximal fuzzy d -ideal of a d -transitive d -algebra, then*

- (i) μ is normal,
- (ii) μ takes only the values 0 and 1,
- (iii) $\mu_{X_\mu} = \mu$,
- (iv) X_μ is a maximal d -ideal of X .

Proof. Let μ be a maximal fuzzy d -ideal of X . Then μ^+ is a non-constant maximal element of the poset $(\mathcal{N}(X), \subseteq)$. It follows from Theorem 3.14 that μ^+ takes only the values 0 and 1. Note that $\mu^+(x) = 1$ if and only if $\mu(x) = \mu(0)$, and $\mu^+(x) = 0$ if and only if $\mu(x) = \mu(0) - 1$. By Corollary 3.8, we have $\mu(x) = 0$, i.e., $\mu(0) = 1$. Hence μ is normal, and clearly $\mu^+ = \mu$. This proves (i) and (ii).

(iii) Clearly $\mu_{X_\mu} \subseteq \mu$ and μ_{X_μ} takes the values 0 and 1. Let $x \in X$. If $\mu(x) = 0$, then obviously $\mu \subseteq \mu_{X_\mu}$. If $\mu(x) = 1$, then $x \in X_\mu$, and so $\mu_{X_\mu}(x) = 1$. This shows that $\mu \subseteq \mu_{X_\mu}$.

(iv) X_μ is a proper d -ideal of X because μ is non-constant. Let A be a d -ideal of X such that $X_\mu \subseteq A$. Noticing that for any d -ideals A and B of X , $A \subseteq B$ if and only if $\mu_A \subseteq \mu_B$, then we obtain $\mu = \mu_{X_\mu} \subseteq \mu_A$. Since μ and μ_A are normal and since $\mu = \mu^+$ is a maximal element of $\mathcal{N}(X)$, we have that either $\mu = \mu_A$ or $\mu_A = \mathbf{1}$ where $\mathbf{1} : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a fuzzy set defined by $\mathbf{1}(x) := 1$ for all $x \in X$. The other case implies that $A = X$. If $\mu = \mu_A$, then $X_\mu = X_{\mu_A} = A$ by proposition 3.4. This proves that X_μ is a maximal d -ideal of X , completing the proof. □

Definition 3.19. A normal fuzzy d -ideal μ of X is said to be *completely normal* if there exists $x \in X$ such that $\mu(x) = 0$.

Denote by $\mathcal{C}(X)$ the set of all completely normal fuzzy d -ideals of a d -transitive d -algebra X . We note that $\mathcal{C}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(X)$ and there the restriction of the partial ordering \subseteq of $\mathcal{N}(X)$ gives a partial ordering of $\mathcal{C}(X)$.

Example 3.20 Let X be the d -algebra of Example 3.3. Then a fuzzy set μ is defined by $\mu(e) = 0 < 1$ and $\mu(x) = 1$ for all $x \neq e$ is a normal fuzzy d -ideal of X . Since $e \in X$ such that $\mu(e) = 0$, μ is completely normal.

Proposition 3.21. *Any non-constant maximal element of $(\mathcal{N}(X), \subseteq)$ is also a maximal element of $(\mathcal{C}(X), \subseteq)$.*

Proof. Let μ be a non-constant maximal element of $(\mathcal{N}(X), \subseteq)$. By Theorem 3.14, μ takes only the values 0 and 1, and so $\mu(0) = 1$ and $\mu(x) = 0$ for some $x \in X$. Hence

$\mu \in \mathcal{C}(X)$. Assume that there exists $\nu \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ such that $\mu \subseteq \nu$. It follows that $\mu \subseteq \nu$ in $\mathcal{N}(X)$. Since μ is maximal in $(\mathcal{N}(X), \subseteq)$ and ν is non-constant, therefore $\mu = \nu$. Thus μ is maximal element of $(\mathcal{C}(X), \subseteq)$, ending the proof. \square

Theorem 3.22. *Every maximal fuzzy d -ideal of a d -transitive d -algebra X is completely normal.*

Proof. Let μ be a maximal fuzzy d -ideal of X . Then by Theorem 3.18, μ is normal and $\mu = \mu^+$ takes the values 0 and 1. Since μ is non-constant, it follows that $\mu(0) = 1$ and $\mu(x) = 0$ for some $x \in X$. Hence μ is completely normal, ending the proof. \square

4. Fuzzy characteristic d -ideals

For an endomorphism f of a d -algebra X and a fuzzy set μ in X , a new fuzzy set μ^f in X is defined by $\mu^f(x) := \mu(f(x))$ ([1]) for all $x \in X$.

Proposition 4.1. ([1]) *If μ is a fuzzy d -ideal of a d -algebra X , then so is μ^f .*

Example 4.2. Let $X = \{0, a, b, c\}$ be a set with the following Cayley table:

$*$	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	0	a
b	b	b	0	0
c	c	c	a	0

Then X is a d -algebra ([8]). Define a fuzzy set $\mu : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $\mu(0) = \mu(a) = t_1$ and $\mu(b) = \mu(c) = t_2$ with $t_1 > t_2$. Then μ is a fuzzy d -ideal of X . There are 5 endomorphisms of a d -algebra X as follows:

$$f_1 : 0 \rightarrow 0, a \rightarrow 0, b \rightarrow 0, c \rightarrow 0$$

$$f_2 : 0 \rightarrow 0, a \rightarrow 0, b \rightarrow a, c \rightarrow a$$

$$f_3 : 0 \rightarrow 0, a \rightarrow 0, b \rightarrow b, c \rightarrow b$$

$$f_4 : 0 \rightarrow 0, a \rightarrow 0, b \rightarrow c, c \rightarrow c$$

$$f_5 : 0 \rightarrow 0, a \rightarrow a, b \rightarrow b, c \rightarrow c.$$

By Proposition 4.1, μ^{f_i} ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$) are fuzzy d -ideals of X .

Definition 4.3. A fuzzy d -ideal A of a d -algebra X is said to be *characteristic* if $f(A) = A$ for all $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$, where $\text{Aut}(X)$ is the set of all automorphisms of X .

Definition 4.4. A fuzzy d -ideal of a d -algebra X is said to be *fuzzy characteristic* if $\mu^f(x) = \mu(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$.

Example 4.5. In Example 4.2, f_5 is an automorphism of X . It is easy to check that $f_5(\mu(x)) = \mu(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Hence μ is characteristic. Also $\mu^{f_5}(x) = \mu(f_5(x)) = \mu(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Therefore μ is fuzzy characteristic.

Lemma 4.6. *Let μ be a fuzzy d -ideal of a d -algebra X and let $x \in X$. Then $\mu(x) = t$ if and only if $x \in \mu_t$ and $x \notin \mu_s$ for all $s > t$.*

Proof. Straightforward. □

Theorem 4.7. *For a fuzzy d -ideal μ of a d -algebra X , the following are equivalent:*

- (i) μ is fuzzy characteristic,
- (ii) Each level d -ideal $\mu_t := \{x \in X \mid \mu(x) \geq t\}$ is characteristic.

Proof. Assume that μ is a fuzzy characteristic and let $t \in \text{Im}(\mu)$, $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$ and $x \in \mu_t$. Then $\mu^f(x) = \mu(x) \geq t$, i.e., $\mu(x) \geq t$. Hence $f(x) \in \mu_t$, i.e., $f(\mu_t) \subseteq \mu_t$. Now let $x \in \mu_t$ and $y \in X$ be such that $f(y) = x$. Then $\mu(y) = \mu^f(y) = \mu(f(y)) = \mu(x) \geq t$, whence $y \in \mu_t$, so that $x = f(y) \in f(\mu_t)$. Consequently, $\mu_t \subseteq f(\mu_t)$. Therefore $f(\mu_t) = \mu_t$ and μ_t is characteristic.

Conversely, suppose that each level d -ideal μ_t of μ is characteristic and let $x \in X$, $f \in \text{Aut} X$ and $\mu(x) = t$. Then by Lemma 4.6, $x \in \mu_t$ and $x \notin \mu_s$ for all $s > t$. It follows from hypothesis that $f(x) \in f(\mu_t) = \mu_t$, so that $\mu^f(x) = \mu(f(x)) \geq t$. Let $s = \mu^f(x)$ and assume that $s > t$. Then $f(x) \in \mu_s = f(\mu_s)$, which implies from the injectivity of f that $x \in \mu_s$, a contradiction. Hence $\mu^f(x) = \mu(f(x)) = t = \mu(x)$. Therefore μ^f is fuzzy characteristic. □

Acknowledgement The authors are very grateful to referees for their valuable comments and suggestions for improving this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Akram and K. H. Dar, *On fuzzy d -algebras*, Punjab Univ. J. Math. **37** (2005), 61–76.
- [2] Q. P. Hu and X. Li, *On BCH-algebras*, Mathematics Seminar Notes **11** (1983), 313–320.
- [3] Q. P. Hu and X. Li, *On proper BCH-algebras*, Math. Japonica **30** (1985), 659–661.
- [4] K. Iséki and S. Tanaka, *Ideal theory of BCK-algebras*, Math. Japonica **21** (1976), 351–366.
- [5] K. Iséki and S. Tanaka, *An introduction to the theory of BCK-algebras*, Math. Japonica **23**(1) (1978), 1–26.
- [6] K. Iséki, *On BCI-algebras*, Mathematics Seminar Notes **8** (1980), 125–130.
- [7] Y. B. Jun, J. Neggers and H. S. Kim, *Fuzzy d -ideals of d -algebras*, J. Fuzzy Math. **8**(1) (2000), 123–130.
- [8] Y. C. Lee and H. S. Kim, *On d^* -subalgebras of d -transitive d^* -algebras*, Math. Slovaca **49**(1), (1999), 27–33.
- [9] J. Meng and Y. B. Jun, *BCK-algebras*, Kyung Moon Sa Co., Seoul, (1994).
- [10] J. Neggers, Y. B. Jun and H. S. Kim, *On d -ideals in d -algebras*, Math. Slovaca **49**(3), (1999), 243–251.
- [11] J. Neggers and H. S. Kim, *On d -algebras*, Math. Slovaca **49**(1), (1999), 19–26.
- [12] O. Xi, *Fuzzy BCK-algebra*, Math. Japonica **36**, (1991), 935–942.
- [13] L. A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy Sets*, Inform. Control **8**, (1965), 338–353.

Sun Shin Ahn, Department of Mathematics Education, Dongguk University, Seoul 100-715, Korea
sunshine@dongguk.edu

Keum Sook So, Department of Mathematics, Hallym University, Chuncheon 200-702, Korea
ksso@hallym.ac.kr