

ON *BH*-RELATIONS IN *BH*-ALGEBRAS

YOUNG BAE JUN, HEE SIK KIM AND MICHIRO KONDO*

Received April 11, 2003

ABSTRACT. As a generalization of a *BH*-homomorphism, the notion of a relation on *BH*-algebras, called a *BH*-relation, is introduced. Some fundamental properties related to *BH*-subalgebras are discussed.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well-known that the class of *BCH*-algebras is a generalization of the class of *BCK/BCI*-algebras. It is important for us to generalize some algebraic structures. Jun, Roh and Kim [2] introduced a new notion, called a *BH*-algebra, which is a generalization of *BCK/BCI/BCH*-algebras. In this paper, we introduce the notion of a relation on *BH*-algebras, called a *BH*-relation, which is a generalization of a *BH*-homomorphism, and then we discuss the fundamental properties related to *BH*-subalgebras.

2. PRELIMINARIES

A *BH*-algebra is a nonempty set X with a constant 0 and a binary operation $*$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (I) $x * x = 0$,
- (II) $x * y = 0$ and $y * x = 0$ imply $x = y$
- (III) $x * 0 = x$

for all $x, y \in X$. A nonempty subset S of a *BH*-algebra X is called a *BH*-subalgebra of X if $x * y \in S$ for all $x, y \in S$. A nonempty subset J of a *BH*-algebra X is called a *BH*-ideal of X if it satisfies

- $0 \in J$.
- $\forall x, y \in X, x * y \in J, y \in J \Rightarrow x \in J$.

A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of *BH*-algebras is called a *BH*-homomorphism if $f(x * y) = f(x) * f(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Note that if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a *BH*-homomorphism, then $f(0_X) = 0_Y$, where 0_X and 0_Y are constants of X and Y , respectively.

3. *BH*-RELATIONS

Definition 3.1. Let X and Y be *BH*-algebras. A nonempty relation $\mathcal{H} \subseteq X \times Y$ is called a *BH*-relation if

- (R1) for every $x \in X$ there exists $y \in Y$ such that $x\mathcal{H}y$,
- (R2) $x\mathcal{H}a$ and $y\mathcal{H}b$ imply $(x * y)\mathcal{H}(a * b)$.

We usually denote such relation by $\mathcal{H} : X \rightarrow Y$. It is clear from (R1) and (R2) that $0_X\mathcal{H}0_Y$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 06F35, 03G25.

Key words and phrases. *BH*-subalgebra, *BH*-relation, *BH*-homomorphism, kernel, zero image.

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +81-476-46-8457; fax: +81-476-46-8038

Example 3.2. Consider a proper BH -algebra $X = \{0, a, b\}$ having the following Cayley table (see [2]):

$*$	0	a	b
0	0	a	b
a	a	0	a
b	b	a	0

Define a relation $\mathcal{H} : X \rightarrow X$ as follows: $0\mathcal{H}0, a\mathcal{H}a, b\mathcal{H}b$. It is easy to verify that \mathcal{H} is a BH -relation. A relation $\mathcal{D} : X \rightarrow X$ given by $0\mathcal{D}0, 0\mathcal{D}a, a\mathcal{D}0, a\mathcal{D}a, b\mathcal{D}0$, and $b\mathcal{D}a$ is a BH -relation.

Theorem 3.3. *Every BH -homomorphism is a BH -relation.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{H} : X \rightarrow Y$ be a BH -homomorphism. Clearly, \mathcal{H} satisfies conditions (R1) and (R2). \square

Note that every diagonal BH -relation on a BH -algebra X (i.e., a BH -relation satisfying $x\mathcal{H}x$ for all $x \in X$ in which $x\mathcal{H}y$ is false whenever $x \neq y$) is clearly a BH -homomorphism. But, in general, the converse of Theorem 3.3 need not be true as seen in the following example.

Example 3.4. The BH -relation \mathcal{D} in Example 3.2 is not a BH -homomorphism.

Let $\mathcal{H} : X \rightarrow Y$ be a BH -relation. For any $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, let

$$\mathcal{H}[x] := \{y \in Y \mid x\mathcal{H}y\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}^{-1}[y] := \{x \in X \mid x\mathcal{H}y\}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{H}[x]$ and $\mathcal{H}^{-1}[y]$ are not BH -subalgebras of Y and X , respectively, as seen in the following example:

Example 3.5. Let \mathcal{H} be a BH -relation in Example 3.2(1). Then $\mathcal{H}^{-1}[b] = \{b\}$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}[a] = \{a\}$) is not a BH -subalgebra of X (resp. Y).

Theorem 3.6. *For any BH -relation $\mathcal{H} : X \rightarrow Y$, we have*

- (i) $\mathcal{H}[0_X]$, called the zero image of \mathcal{H} , is a BH -subalgebra of Y .
- (ii) $\mathcal{H}^{-1}[0_Y]$, called the kernel of \mathcal{H} and denoted by $\text{Ker}\mathcal{H}$, is a BH -subalgebra of X .

Proof. (i) Let $y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{H}[0_X]$. Then $0_X\mathcal{H}y_1$ and $0_X\mathcal{H}y_2$. It follows from (R2) and (I) that $0_X\mathcal{H}(y_1 * y_2)$, that is, $y_1 * y_2 \in \mathcal{H}[0_X]$.

(ii) Let $x_1, x_2 \in \text{Ker}\mathcal{H}$. Then $x_1\mathcal{H}0_Y$ and $x_2\mathcal{H}0_Y$. By using (R2) and (I), we get $(x_1 * x_2)\mathcal{H}0_Y$ and so $x_1 * x_2 \in \text{Ker}\mathcal{H}$. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 3.7. *Let $\mathcal{H} : X \rightarrow Y$ be a BH -relation.*

- (i) If $\mathcal{H}[a] \cap \mathcal{H}[b] \neq \emptyset$ where $a, b \in X$, then $a * b \in \text{Ker}\mathcal{H}$.
- (ii) If $\mathcal{H}^{-1}[u] \cap \mathcal{H}^{-1}[v] \neq \emptyset$ where $u, v \in Y$, then $u * v \in \mathcal{H}[0_X]$.

Proof. (i) Let $a, b \in X$ be such that $\mathcal{H}[a] \cap \mathcal{H}[b] \neq \emptyset$. Taking $y \in \mathcal{H}[a] \cap \mathcal{H}[b]$, we have $a\mathcal{H}y$ and $b\mathcal{H}y$. It follows from (R2) and (I) that $(a * b)\mathcal{H}(y * y) = (a * b)\mathcal{H}0_Y$ so that $a * b \in \text{Ker}\mathcal{H}$.

(ii) Let $x \in \mathcal{H}^{-1}[u] \cap \mathcal{H}^{-1}[v]$. Then $x\mathcal{H}u$ and $x\mathcal{H}v$. Using (R2) and (I), we obtain $(x * x)\mathcal{H}(u * v) = 0_X\mathcal{H}(u * v)$, i.e., $u * v \in \mathcal{H}[0_X]$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.8. *Let $\mathcal{H} : X \rightarrow Y$ be a BH -relation and let S be a BH -subalgebra of X . Then*

$$\mathcal{H}[S] := \{y \in Y \mid x\mathcal{H}y \text{ for some } x \in S\}$$

is a BH -subalgebra of Y .

Proof. Clearly, $\mathcal{H}[S] \neq \emptyset$ since $0_X \mathcal{H} 0_Y$. Let $y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{H}[S]$. Then $x_1 \mathcal{H} y_1$ and $x_2 \mathcal{H} y_2$ for some $x_1, x_2 \in S$. Using (R2), we obtain $(x_1 * x_2) \mathcal{H} (y_1 * y_2)$ which implies that $y_1 * y_2 \in \mathcal{H}[S]$ since $x_1 * x_2 \in S$. Therefore $\mathcal{H}[S]$ is a BH-subalgebra of Y . \square

Corollary 3.9. *Let $\mathcal{H} : X \rightarrow Y$ be a BH-relation. Then*

- (i) $\mathcal{H}[X]$ is a BH-subalgebra of Y .
- (ii) $\mathcal{H}[X] = \bigcup_{x \in X} \mathcal{H}[x]$.
- (iii) The zero image of \mathcal{H} is a BH-subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}[X]$.

Proof. (i) and (ii) are straightforward.

(iii) Let $a, b \in \mathcal{H}[0_X]$. Then $0_X \mathcal{H} a$ and $0_X \mathcal{H} b$, and hence $0_X \mathcal{H} (a * b)$, i.e., $a * b \in \mathcal{H}[0_X]$. Therefore $\mathcal{H}[0_X]$ is a BH-subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}[X]$. \square

For any BH-relation $\mathcal{H} : X \rightarrow Y$, we know that there is a BH-ideal J of X in which $\mathcal{H}[J]$ is not a BH-ideal of Y . Indeed, consider the BH-relation \mathcal{D} in Example 3.2. Note that $J := \{0, 2\}$ is a BH-ideal of X , but $\mathcal{H}[J] = \{0, 1\}$ is not a BH-ideal of X .

Theorem 3.10. *Let $\mathcal{H} : X \rightarrow Y$ be a BH-relation and let T be a BH-subalgebra of Y . Then*

$$\mathcal{H}^{-1}[T] := \{x \in X \mid x \mathcal{H} y \text{ for some } y \in T\}$$

is a BH-subalgebra of X .

Proof. Obviously, $\mathcal{H}^{-1}[T] \neq \emptyset$ since $0_X \mathcal{H} 0_Y$. Let $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{H}^{-1}[T]$. Then there exist $y_1, y_2 \in T$ such that $x_1 \mathcal{H} y_1$ and $x_2 \mathcal{H} y_2$. Note that $y_1 * y_2 \in T$ since T is a subalgebra of Y . It follows from (R2) that $(x_1 * x_2) \mathcal{H} (y_1 * y_2)$ so that $x_1 * x_2 \in \mathcal{H}^{-1}[T]$. Hence $\mathcal{H}^{-1}[T]$ is a BH-subalgebra of X . \square

Corollary 3.11. *Let $\mathcal{H} : X \rightarrow Y$ be a BH-relation. Then*

- (i) $\mathcal{H}^{-1}[Y]$ is a BH-subalgebra of X .
- (ii) $\mathcal{H}^{-1}[Y] = \bigcup_{y \in Y} \mathcal{H}^{-1}[y]$.
- (iii) The kernel of \mathcal{H} is a BH-subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}^{-1}[Y]$.

Proof. (i) and (ii) are straightforward.

(iii) Let $x, y \in \text{Ker} \mathcal{H}$. Then $x \mathcal{H} 0_Y$ and $y \mathcal{H} 0_Y$. It follows from (R2) and (I) that

$$(x * y) \mathcal{H} (0_Y * 0_Y) = (x * y) \mathcal{H} 0_Y$$

so that $x * y \in \text{Ker} \mathcal{H}$. Hence $\text{Ker} \mathcal{H}$ is a BH-subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}^{-1}[Y]$. This completes the proof. \square

Open Problem 3.12. In Theorem 3.10, if T is a BH-ideal of Y , then is $\mathcal{H}^{-1}[T]$ a BH-ideal of X ?

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. B. Jun, *BCK/BCI-algebras*, Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae Online **4** (2001), 857–860.
- [2] Y. B. Jun, E. H. Roh and H. S. Kim, *On BH-algebras*, Scientiae Mathematicae **1(1)** (1998), 347–354.
- [3] Q. G. Yu, Y. B. Jun and E. H. Roh, *Special subsets in BH-algebras*, Scientiae Mathematicae **2(3)** (1999), 311–314.
- [4] Q. Zhang, Y. B. Jun and E. H. Roh, *On the branch of BH-algebras*, Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae **54(2)** (2001), 363–367.

Y. B. JUN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, GYEONGSANG NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, CHINJU
(JINJU) 660-701, KOREA

E-mail address: `ybjun@nongae.gsnu.ac.kr`

H. S. KIM, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HANYANG UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 133-791, KOREA

E-mail address: `heekim@hanyang.ac.kr`

M. KONDO, SCHOOL OF INFORMATION ENVIRONMENT, TOKYO DENKI UNIVERSITY, INZAI, 270-1382,
JAPAN

E-mail address: `kondo@sie.dendai.ac.jp`